

Department of Energy

Brookhaven Area Office P. O. Box 5000 Upton, New York 11973

JUN 1 1 2003

Mr. George Goode Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, New York 11973

Dear Mr. Goode:

SUBJECT: NEPA DETERMINATION

We have reviewed the Environmental Evaluation Notification Form for the RHIC Alcove 9C Electronic Equipment Modular Structure and have determined it to be a Categorical Exclusion. A copy of the determination is enclosed.

If you should have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Caroline Polanish of my staff at extension 5224.

Sincerely

Michael D. Holland Area Manager

Enclosure: As stated

cc:

J. R. Oprzedek, TS, CH, w/encl.

C. Polanish, BAO, w/encl. M. Davis, BNL, w/encl.

E. Lessard, BNL, w/o encl.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

Grantee/Contractor Laboratory: BROOKHAV	EN NATIONAL LABORATORY
Project/Activity Title: RHIC Alcove 9c Ele	ctronic Equipment Modular Structure
CH NEPA Tracking No.: BNL-477	Type of Funding: AIP/operating
B&R Code: Total Esti	imated Cost: \$200K
DOE Cognizant Secretarial Officer (CSO):	R. Orbach, SC-1
Contractor Project Manager: A. Pendzick	Signature:
concractor respect managers	Date: 5/23/03
Contractor NEPA Reviewer: M. Davis	Signature: Marke Javi
	Date: 5/23/03

I. Description of Proposed Action:

The Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) operates the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) for study of high-energy impacts (collisions) of heavy nuclei. The proposed action would relocate electronic equipment, now located inside alcove 9C at RHIC, to outside the RHIC tunnel in order to service the equipment without entering the RHIC tunnel. A modular structure (approx. size: 16' x 30') would be purchased and placed near the alcove on top of the ring, very near the outboard ring road. Power and services would be supplied from the RHIC tunnel. Existing equipment in the alcove would be relocated into the modular building and their cables extended through an existing pathway or new service sleeves. The need to make this change is driven by the fact that equipment maintenance during RHIC operations is required and would be more feasible where RHIC ring entry during operations would not be required.

The scope of the proposed action would include installation of footings for the modular building; installation of the structure; connection of utility services from the tunnel to the structure; possible installation of a service sleeve through the RHIC tunnel.

(Reference drawing in section V)

II. Description of Affected Environment:

The proposed action would take place at or near Alcove 9C located in the northwest (10 O'clock) portion of the RHIC Ring. Alcove 9C is located approximately 500 feet from the Peconic River. All work would be within previously disturbed areas and there would be no impact to the Peconic River or wetlands areas.

No adverse impacts to environmentally sensitive areas would be anticipated.

III. Potential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response and "no" response if additional information is available and could be significant in the decision making process.)

A. Sensitive Resources: Will the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following resources? Yes/No

1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	No
2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)	Yes
3.	Wetlands	No
4.	Archaeological/Historic Resources	No
5.	Prime, Unique or Important Farmland	
6.	Non-Attainment Areas	No
7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	No
8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g., Sole Source Aquifer)	_No
à	Navigable Air Space	No
10.	Coastal Zones (e.g., National Forests, Parks, Trails)	No
11.	Areas w/Special National Designation (e.g., National	
11.	Forests, Parks, Trails)	No
		No
12.	Floodplain	

B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Will the proposed action involve any of the following regulated substances or activities? Yes/No

13.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than 5 acres)	<u>Yes</u>
14.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act section 404;	
	indicate if greater than 10 acres)	_No
15.	Noise (in excess of regulations)	No
16.	Asbestos Removal	_No
17.	PCBs	No
18.	Import, Manufacture or Processing of Toxic Substances	_No
	Chemical Storage/Use	_No
20.	Pesticide Use	No
21.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions	No
22.	Liquid Effluent	No
	Underground Injection	No
23.	Hazardous Waste	No
24.	**************************************	No
25.	Underground Storage Tanks	No
26.	Radioactive (AEA) Mixed Waste	No
	Radioactive Waste	No
28.	Radiation Exposures	

C. Other Relevant Disclosures. Will the proposed action involve the following? Yes/No

	A threatened violation of ES&H regulations/permit requirements	No
30.	Recovery or TSD Facilities	No No
31.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	
32.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits	<u>Yes</u>
	Public controversy (e.g., Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898 consideration and other related public issues)	No_
	Action/involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g., license, funding, approval)	No_
	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law. (Does the State Environmental Quality Review Act Apply?)	Yes_
36. 37.	Public Utilities/Services Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	No No

IV.	Section D Determination: Is the project/activity appropriate for a determination by the Group Manager under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations for compliance with NEPA?
	Yes
	Indicate the recommendation and specific class of action from Appendix A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR 1021):
	CX
	B1.15 Siting/construction/operation of support buildings/support structures
	And CX
	B1.31 Relocation/operation of machinery and equipment
DOE	Recommendation:
BAO	NEPA Coordinator: Caroline Polanish Signature: Caroline Polanish

Group Manager Subpart D CX Determination and Approval:

LGL-GL: Irene P. Atney

The preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR Part 1021.400, to establish that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. Therefore, by my signature below, I have determined that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

ſ

Acting	BAO	Area	Manager:	Michael	D.	Holland	Signature	m. Holland
								6/11/03

V. Additional Information

- While the proposed action would not have a direct affect on wetlands, the area of effect would be within % mile of the Peconic River, thereby, requiring a permit under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational River Systems Act. BNL would submit an application for the permit to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC).
- Small-scale excavation, such as grade leveling for structure placement and installation of footings would be necessary. Appropriate best management practices (silt fencing, or hay bales) would be installed, as determined necessary, in the area affected by the excavation to prevent soil and silt from reaching the Peconic River wetlands. The total area of excavation would be less than 1 acre.
- Because the area of effect is within the % mile of the Peconic River, BNL would submit to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation an application for permit under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational River Systems Act.
- C35 Submittal of the permit, identified in item C32 above, would include a review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act.

Sketch of Proposed Affected Area:

